

OFFICE OF THE ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN
(A Statutory Body of Govt. of NCT of Delhi under the Electricity Act, 2003)
B-53, Paschimi Marg, Vasant Vihar, New Delhi – 110 057
(Phone No.: 32506011, Fax No.26141205)

Appeal No. F. ELECT/Ombudsman/2010/345

Appeal against Order dated 26.08.2009 passed by CGRF–BRPL in case no. C.G.No.110/2009.

In the matter of:

Shri Sukhpal - Appellant

Versus

M/s BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. - Respondent
&
Smt. Geeta

Present:-

Appellant The Appellant was present through his son Shri Ravinder Kumar

Respondent Shri Ravinder Srivastava, DGM
Shri Ashish Singh, Assistant Manager (Power Supply)
attended on behalf of BRPL

Smt. Geeta was present in person

Date of Hearing : 15.12.2009
Date of Order : 25.02.2010

ORDER NO.: OMBUDSMAN/2010/345

1.0 The Appellant, Shri Sukhpal, son of Shri Puran, has filed this appeal against the CGRF's order No. 110/2009 dated 26.08.2009 regarding disconnection of electricity connection K.No.

[Signature]
25. 10 2. 2010


2511N6021801, installed in the shop at plot No. 65/4 in the name of Smt. Geeta, his late brother, Shri Nagpal's, wife.

- 1.1 A complaint had earlier been filed by Shri Puran, father of the Appellant, before the CGRF-BRPL, alleging that the electricity connection K. No. 2511N6021801 on his property was installed without his permission at the shop 65/4, Nai Basti, Devli, New Delhi in the name of Smt. Geeta, wife of his Late son Shri Nagpal. As such, the aforesaid electricity connection was required to be disconnected.

The CGRF, after considering the records and the averments of the parties directed that Smt. Geeta, being the occupant of the premises, was entitled to the electricity connection K. No. 2511N6021801 at her shop 65/4.

Shri Sukhpal, not satisfied with the order of the CGRF dated 26.08.2009, has filed the present appeal for the disconnection of the electricity connection sanctioned to Smt. Geeta, his sister-in-law.

- 2.0 After perusal of the record, the first hearing in the case was fixed for 15.12.2009. The Appellant, Shri Sukhpal, was represented by his son Shri Ravinder Kumar, who stated that he was also representing his grandfather Shri Puran, the complainant before the CGRF. Smt. Geeta was present in person. The Respondent



28.02.2010.

was represented through Shri Ravinder Srivastava, DGM along with Shri Ashish Singh, Assistant Manager (Power Supply).

At the outset, Shri Ravinder Kumar was directed to file the appeal properly signed by Shri Puran the complainant before the CGRF and to produce a proper authority letter. It was noted that Shri Sukhpal was not the complainant before the CGRF. Shri Ravinder Kumar was also asked to produce a copy of the allotment letter of plots 463 and 65/4, to establish the original ownership of the property, before proceeding further with the appeal. Reminders were also sent to Shri Puran on 24.12.2009 and 07.01.2010 for submitting the required documents, but there was no response. Subsequently, a final notice was sent to Shri Puran on 29.01.2010, directing him to personally visit this office on 09.02.2010 along with duly signed copies of his appeal and identity proof, failing which the case would be closed without further notice.

- 3.0 The Appellant, Shri Sukhpal has failed to prove his locus-standi to file the appeal. He could not also submit the appeal duly signed by Shri Puran his father, the complainant before CGRF, till date. The appeal is therefore accordingly dismissed.

25th February 2010


(SUMAN SWARUP)
OMBUDSMAN
25.2.2010